PHCAG December 14, 2005 Meeting

Steve Gunther Progressive Products & Services

Jim RobinsonAt LargePeter LaughingwolfFFPNAJackie CalderAt Large

Travis Williams Willamette River Keeper

Bill Barrett Waterfront Organizations of Oregon

(b) (6) Citizen
Robin Plance SJNA
Jane Harris OCEH
Erin Madden Nez Perce

David Serres Friends of Living Oregon Waters (FLOW)

Aron Borok EI/Tribes

Lisa Bluelake Grand Ronde Tribe

Jim Anderson DEQ Matt McCliney DEQ

Kim Cox City of Portland
Sue Safford Port of Portland
(b) (6) Citizen

(b) (6) Citizen
Sylvia Kawabata EPA
Chip Humphrey EPA
James Peale MFA
Mikell Omealy DEQ
Julie Eary OHS
Barbara Smith LWG

Bob Wyatt NW Natural

PHCAG Meeting Minutes, December 14, 2005

Meeting called to order by R. Plance 6:05 PM

Discussion was held about CDF at Port of Portland T-4. Sean Sheldrake from EPA is being emailed as featured speaker for proposed Meeting/Presentation on Alternative Treatment Technologies.

Travis suggested that guidelines req'd to make alternative treatment viable might require taking contaminated sediment from Harbor Oil Superfund site (this is a separate Superfund site located in the Ptld Metropolitan area)

Jim Robinson said EPA may not require CDF if all treatment options are extensively evaluated.

Jane Haley Harris arrived 6:20 PM

Jane spoke with Eric Stern, EPA Region 3 Expert on Alternative Treatment Technology. Region 10 has not encouraged Eric's participation, yet EPA is interested in hosting/sponsoring any workshop/event on this topic.

Steve G. agreed to forward Robin's contact information to the NW Environmental Business Council (NEBC) to get outreach to its member companies that deal with alternative treatment technologies.

Peter Laughingwolf stated that community concern is driving the desire for this conference.

Jim R. & Jane H. questioned the Port of Portland's intentions to compliment Economic Development work with the CDF. Port has downplayed their commitment to go ahead with CDF, despite status of feasibility study.

Jim heard reports that Technical recommendations made prior to GASCO cleanup were dismissed.

Travis commented on Tribes critique of GASCO early action, questioning the motives and/or qualifications of the Tribe. Travis acknowledged that he did not attend the November CAG meeting or see the presentation made by the Tribes representative.

Peter said Early Action process only makes sense if cleanups take place with adequate supervision such that the impacts from the process can be mitigated if something unexpected happens.

PHCAG December Meeting Minutes cont'd

7:05 General Public Admitted to Meeting

Sean Shelfrake, EPA Seattle, presented PowerPoint Presentation: GASCO: The First Portland Harbor Early Action in Review

(Following are some highlights from this presentation)

Removed: 500 Truckloads of Tar

TIMELINE:

- 2004 Administrative Order of Consent Signed
- Spring '04 Workplan, Tar deposit characterization
- Fall '04 Design
- Winter '04 '05 EPA NWN Dispute (Containment, Disposal, Sample turnaround time was negotiated to 72 hr turnaround.)
- Spring '05 EPA decides EECA must be performed
- June 705 Action Memo Silt Curtain issues discussed
- July, Aug '05 Design Finalized
- Late Aug '05 Construction begins

Engineering Contractor for EPA was Parametrix
Engineering contractor for NW Natural was/is Anchor Environmental
Sevenson was onsite construction contractor

Sean stated that this cleanup is only 3% - 5% complete

Steve G. asked Bob Wyatt, of NW Natural, how much this early action has cost? Bob replyed that he thinks the \$7.7 million estimate from public records will likely hold.

Sean Sheldrake was asked by produced a positive result?

if the Early Action has

Sean replied that EPA is still evaluating the results.